Rush

CONGRESSIONAL RECORD—HOUSE

McNulty
Meek (FL)
Meeks (NY)
Miller (MI)
Miller (NC)
Miller, George
Mitchell
Mollohan
Moore (KS)
Moore (WI)
Moran (VA)
Murphy (CT)
Murphy, Patrick
Murtha
Napolitano
Neal (MA)
Obey
Ortiz
Pallone
Pascrell
Pastor
Payne
Pelosi
Perlmutter
Peterson (MN)
Platts
Pomeroy
Porter
Price (NC)
Pryce (OH)
Ramstad
Rangel

Reichert Space Spratt Reves Richardson Stark Rodriguez Stupak Ros-Lehtinen Sutton Rothman Tauscher Roybal-Allard Thompson (CA) Ruppersberger Thompson (MS) Rush Tiberi Rvan (OH) Tiernev Rvan (WI) Tsongas Udall (CO) Salazar Sánchez, Linda Udall (NM) Van Hollen Visclosky Sanchez, Loretta Sarbanes Walz (MN) Saxton Schakowsky Schiff Schultz Schwartz Waters Scott (GA) Watson

Walden (OR) Wasserman Watt Waxman Welch (VT) Wexler Wilson (OH) Woolsey Wu Wynn Yarmuth

NAYS-184

Scott (VA)

Shea-Porter

Sherman

Slaughter

Snyder

Solis

Smith (WA)

Serrano

Sestak

Shays

Sires

Aderholt Gingrey Gohmert Akin Alexander Goode Goodlatte Bachmann Bachus Gordon Baker Granger Barrett (SC) Graves Barrow Hall (TX) Bartlett (MD) Hastert Hastings (WA) Barton (TX) Hayes Berry Bilbray Heller Bilirakis Hensarling Bishop (UT) Herger Blackburn Hoekstra Blunt Holt. Hulshof Boehner Hunter Bonner Boozman Inglis (SC) Boustany Issa Brady (TX) Johnson (IL) Broun (GA) Johnson, Sam Brown (SC) Jones (NC) Brown-Waite Jordan Ginny Keller Buchanan King (IA) Burgess King (NY) Burton (IN) Kingston Kline (MN) Calvert Camp (MI) Lamborn Cannon Lampson Cantor Latham Capito LaTourette Carter Lewis (CA) Chabot Lewis (KY) Clarke Linder Coble Cole (OK) Lipinski Lucas Conaway Lungren, Daniel Cramer Crenshaw Mack Manzullo Culberson Davis (AL) Marchant Davis (KY) Marshall McCarthy (CA) Davis, David Davis, Lincoln McCaul (TX) Deal (GA) McHenry McIntyre Doolittle Drake McKeon Duncan McMorris Edwards Rodgers Melancon Ehlers Mica Michaud Emerson Everett Fallin Miller (FL) Feenev Miller, Gary Ferguson Moran (KS)

Murphy, Tim

Musgrave

Myrick

Nadler Neugebauer

Forbes

Foxx

Fortenberry

Franks (AZ)

Garrett (NJ)

Gallegly

Pearce Pence Peterson (PA) Petri Pickering Pitts Price (GA) Putnam Radanovich Rahall Regula Rehberg Renzi Revnolds Rogers (AL) Rogers (KY) Rogers (MI) Rohrabacher Roskam Ross Royce Sali Schmidt Sessions Shadegg Shimkus Shuler Shuster Simpson Skelton Smith (NE) Smith (NJ) Smith (TX) Souder Stearns Sullivan Tancredo Tanner Taylor Terry Thornberry Tiahrt Towns Turner Upton

Sensenbrenner Velázquez Walberg Walsh (NY) Wamp Weiner Weldon (FL) Weller Whitfield Wicker Wilson (NM) Wilson (SC) Wolf

Young (AK)

Young (FL)

NOT VOTING-14

Boren Cubin Oberstar Boyd (FL) Giffords Olver Braley (IA) Jefferson Paul Buyer Jindal Westmoreland Carson LaHood

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE The SPEAKER pro tempore (during the vote). Members are advised there are 2 minutes remaining on the vote.

□ 1823

So the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

RECOGNIZING THE CLOSE RELA-TIONSHIP BETWEEN THE UNITED STATES AND THE REPUBLIC OF SAN MARINO

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The unfinished business is the vote on the motion to suspend the rules and agree to the concurrent resolution, H. Con. Res. 236, as amended, on which the yeas and nays were ordered.

The Clerk read the title of the concurrent resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from New York (Mr. ENGEL) that the House suspend the rules and agree to the concurrent resolution, H. Con. Res. 236, as amended.

This will be a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 396, nays 0, not voting 36, as follows:

[Roll No. 1058]

YEAS-396

Brown-Waite, Davis, Tom Abercrombie Aderholt Ginny Deal (GA) Akin Buchanan DeFazio Delahunt Alexander Burgess Burton (IN) DeLauro Allen Altmire Butterfield Dent. Diaz-Balart, L. Andrews Calvert Arcuri Camp (MI) Diaz-Balart, M. Campbell (CA) Baca Dicks Bachmann Cantor Dingell Bachus Capito Donnelly Baird Capps Doolittle Capuano Baker Doyle Baldwin Cardoza Drake Barrett (SC) Carnahan Dreier Barrow Carney Duncan Barton (TX) Castle Edwards Bean Ehlers Castor Becerra Chabot Ellison Berkley Chandler Ellsworth Berman Clarke Emanuel Berry Clay Emerson Biggert Cleaver Engel Bilbray Clyburn Eshoo Bishop (GA) Coble Etheridge Bishop (NY) Cohen Everett Cole (OK) Bishop (UT) Fallin Blackburn Conaway Farr Fattah Blumenauer Cooper Blunt Feeney Costa Boehner Costello Ferguson Bonner Courtney Filner Bono Cramer Flake Boozman Crenshaw Forbes Fortenberry Boswell 1 Crowley Boucher Cuellar Fossella Boustany Culberson Foxx Frank (MA) Boyd (FL) Cummings Boyda (KS) Davis (AL) Franks (AZ) Brady (PA) Davis (CA) Frelinghuysen Brady (TX) Gallegly Davis (IL) Garrett (NJ) Broun (GA) Davis (KY) Brown (SC) Davis, David Gerlach Brown, Corrine Gilchrest Davis, Lincoln

Gohmert Gonzalez Goode Goodlatte Gordon Granger Graves Green, Al Green Gene Grijalva Gutierrez Hall (NY) Hall (TX Hare Hastert Hastings (FL) Hastings (WA) Haves Hensarling Herger Herseth Sandlin Higgins Hill Hinchey Hinoiosa Hobson Hodes Hoekstra Holden Holt. Honda Hooley Hoyer Hulshof Hunter Inglis (SC) Inslee Israel Issa. Jackson (IL) Jackson-Lee (TX) Jefferson Johnson (GA) Johnson (II.) Johnson, E. B. Johnson, Sam Jones (NC) Jones (OH) Jordan Kagen Kanjorski Kaptur Keller Kennedy Kildee Kilpatrick Kind King (IA) King (NY) Kingston Kirk Klein (FL) Kline (MN) Knollenberg Kucinich Kuhl (NY) Lamborn Lampson Langevin Lantos Larsen (WA) Larson (CT) Latham Levin Lewis (CA) Lewis (GA) Linder Lipinski

Gillibrand

Manzullo

Marchant Ryan (OH) Markey Marshall Matheson Sali Matsui McCarthy (CA) McCarthy (NY) McCaul (TX) McCollum (MN) McCotter McCrery McGovern McHenry McHugh McIntvre McKeon McMorris Rodgers McNerney McNulty Meek (FL) Meeks (NY) Melancon Mica Michaud Miller (FL) Miller (MI) Miller (NC) Sires Miller, Gary Miller, George Mitchell Mollohan Moore (KS) Moore (WI) Moran (KS) Solis Moran (VA) Murphy (CT) Murphy, Patrick Murphy, Tim Murtha Musgrave Myrick Nadler Napolitano Neal (MA) Neugebauer Nunes Obey Olver Ortiz Pallone Pascrell Pastor Payne Pearce Pence Perlmutter Peterson (MN) Peterson (PA) Petri Pickering Platts Poe Pomerov Porter Price (GA) Price (NC) Pryce (OH) Putnam Radanovich Rahall Rangel Watt Regula Rehberg Reichert Renzi Reves Reynolds Richardson Rodriguez Rogers (AL) Rogers (KY) Rogers (MI) Ros-Lehtinen Wolf Roskam Wu Ross Rothman Royce Ruppersberger

Ryan (WI) Salazar Sánchez, Linda Sanchez, Loretta Sarbanes Saxton Schakowsky Schiff Schmidt Schwartz Scott (GA) Scott (VA) Sensenbrenner Serrano Sessions Sestak Shadegg Shavs Shea-Porter Sherman Shimkus Shuler Shuster Simpson Skelton Slaughter Smith (NE) Smith (NJ) Smith (TX) Snyder Souder Space Spratt Stearns Stupak Sullivan Sutton Tancredo Tanner Tauscher Taylor Terry Thompson (CA) Thompson (MS) Thornberry Tiahrt Tiberi Tierney Towns Tsongas Turner Udall (CO) Udall (NM) Upton Van Hollen Velázquez Visclosky Walberg Walden (OR) Walsh (NY) Walz (MN) Wamp Wasserman Schultz Waters Watson Waxman Weiner Welch (VT) Weldon (FL) Weller Wexler Whitfield Wicker Wilson (NM) Wilson (OH) Wilson (SC) Wynn Yarmuth Young (AK) Young (FL)

NOT VOTING-36

Carson

Carter

Cubin

Conyers

DeGette

Ackerman Bartlett (MD) Bilirakis Boren Bralev (IA) Buyer Cannon

LoBiondo

Lucas

Lvnch

Mack

Lofgren, Zoe

Lungren, Daniel

Mahoney (FL)

Giffords Gingrey Harman Hirono Jindal Doggett English (PA) LaHood LaTourette Lee Lewis (KY) Loebsack Maloney (NY) McDermott Oberstar Paul Pitts Ramstad Rohrabacher Roybal-Allard Smith (WA) Stark Westmoreland Woolsey

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE
The SPEAKER pro tempore (during the vote). Members are advised there are 2 minutes remaining on the vote.

□ 1832

So (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the concurrent resolution, as amended, was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

REPORT ON RESOLUTION PRO-VIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF CONFERENCE REPORT ON H.R. 3222, DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE APPROPRIATIONS ACT. 2008

Ms. MATSUI, from the Committee on Rules, submitted a privileged report (Rept. No. 110–435) on the resolution (H. Res. 806) providing for consideration of the conference report to accompany the bill (H.R. 3222) making appropriations for the Department of Defense for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2008, and for other purposes, which was referred to the House Calendar and ordered to be printed.

PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 3688, UNITED STATES-PERU TRADE PROMOTION AGREEMENT IMPLEMENTATION ACT

Ms. MATSUI. Mr. Speaker, by direction of the Committee on Rules, I call up House Resolution 801 and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the resolution, as follows:

H. RES. 801

Resolved, That upon the adoption of this resolution it shall be in order to consider in the House the bill (H.R. 3688) to implement the United States-Peru Trade Promotion Agreement. All points of order against consideration of the bill are waived except those arising under clause 9 or 10 of rule XXI. The bill shall be considered as read. All points of order against provisions of the bill are waived. The bill shall be debatable for three hours, with 45 minutes in favor of the bill controlled by Representative Rangel of New York or his designee, 45 minutes in favor of the bill controlled by Representative McCrery of Louisiana or his designee, 45 minutes in opposition to the bill controlled by Representative Michaud of Maine or his designee, and 45 minutes in opposition to the bill controlled by the Minority Leader or his designee. Pursuant to section 151(f)(2) of the Trade Act of 1974, the previous question shall be considered as ordered on the bill to final passage without intervening motion.

SEC. 2. During consideration of H.R. 3688 pursuant to this resolution, notwithstanding the operation of the previous question, the Chair may postpone further consideration of the bill to such time as may be designated by the Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from California is recognized for 1 hour.

Ms. MATSUI. Mr. Speaker, for the purpose of debate only, I yield the customary 30 minutes to the gentleman from California (Mr. DREIER). All time yielded during consideration of the rule is for debate only.

GENERAL LEAVE

Ms. MATSUI. I ask unanimous consent that all Members have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks and insert extraneous materials into the RECORD.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentlewoman from California?

There was no objection.

Ms. MATSUI. I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, House Resolution 801 provides for consideration of H.R. 3688, the United States-Peru Trade Promotion Agreement Implementation Act, under the closed rule required by the fast track law. The rule provides for a total of 3 hours of debate, equally divided by proponents and opponents of the underlying bill.

I rise today in support of the rule and the underlying legislation, H.R. 3688, the United States-Peru Trade Promotion Agreement Implementation Act. I want to congratulate Chairman RANGEL, Chairman LEVIN and members of the Ways and Means Committee on bringing this trade agreement before us today.

Mr. Speaker, last week we passed legislation to help strengthen our current trade adjustment assistance program to protect American workers. Our country faces increased pressure as a result of globalization, and we must continue to reaffirm our commitment to the American workforce. It is evident that we need to change our current trade strategy.

At the same time, we must also acknowledge the positive impact that international trade has had on our economy. International trade currently accounts for a quarter of our gross domestic product.

Competition has proven to spur innovation and create new jobs. In my home State of California, we know that our IT companies need exports of semiconductor chips. Our farmers need the markets of Europe, Asia and Latin America. And our entertainment industry, financial services and telecom companies need to sell their services to grow and create jobs.

But it also affects industry in America. We know that, and that is why we have a balanced approach to our trade agreements.

Mr. Speaker, the trade agreement before us today is part of the broad context in which we should consider trade policy. It will establish an important precedent for how we craft future trade agreements.

Under the new Democratic Congress, free trade agreements must provide strong labor and environmental protections. They are essential to promoting healthy workplaces and competition for American employees and around the world.

Congress must consider each agreement on its merits. In some cases, these agreements will meet increased access for American producers and service providers. In other cases, these agreements could mean more competition and would significantly impact our workers and communities.

I understand that many of my colleagues have strong views on trade, but one thing we can all agree on and be proud of is the fact that our leadership worked vigorously to ensure that democratic principles were included in the Peru agreement.

In previous free trade agreements, these principles were noticeably absent. The initial Peru Free Trade Agreement draft reflected the "business as usual" approach that this administration has based its trade policies on. Democratic leadership went to Peru, met with the Peruvian president and prominent members of its Congress and developed a new free trade agreement, one that includes the strongest labor and environmental chapters in any of the world's over 300 bilateral free trade agreements.

It is not CAFTA. This is the first free trade agreement of its kind. It is a new free trade agreement, one that incorporates fully enforceable internationally recognized labor standards; that also promotes international environmental standards, including combating illegal logging, protecting the ozone layer, and our oceans; and an agreement that will provide Peruvians with lifesaving medicines. All three provisions are unprecedented in any free trade agreement and all three are core democratic principles that we should all be proud of.

This agreement is also about leveling the playing field for U.S. companies to compete in the Peruvian market. The Andean Trade Preference Act passed in 1991 and expanded in 2001 allowed Peruvian companies to benefit from duty-free trade with the United States. Meanwhile, U.S. goods exported to Peru continued to face tariffs as high as 12 percent.

The agreement before us today will give U.S. businesses immediate, duty-free access for more than 80 percent of U.S. consumer and industrial goods. This agreement will also allow us to forge a closer alliance and relationship with one of our southern neighbors. It is no secret that other countries are investing heavily in that part of the world. This agreement will send a strong message to our southern neighbors that the United States is here to help promote openness in their government and their economy.

In closing, I urge my colleagues to look at each free trade agreement based on its merits. It is easy to promote or oppose free trade unequivocally and not look at the facts of each agreement. I am confident that this agreement will benefit our Nation, benefit our workers, and benefit our businesses. This agreement will serve as a model free trade agreement for years to come.